

Examination Library Reference	Chapter and Title,	Summary of Issue, Paragraph/Table Reference	BCKLWN comments
REP1-016/017	5.8.1 - Design Principles, Parameters and Commitments	<p>Tables 3-2, 3-3 and 3-4</p> <p>The applicants have confirmed that floor levels are proposed to be raised 300mm above the modelled flood level, following post-development scenario flood modelling – this suggestion is consistent for the BESS, the Customer Substation and the National Grid Substation.</p>	<p>The Borough Council suggest that flood modelling results and associated raising of floor levels of this key infrastructure has the potential to increase impacts and this should be carefully considered given the landscape implications raised by the LPA.</p>
REP1-029	5.22 SOCG with Defence Infrastructure Organisation	<p>Reference 1-3, 1-4, 1-5</p> <p>The MOD have concerns on impacts on Precision Approach Radar and requested a Glint and Glare Management Plan, which is disputed by the Applicant.</p>	<p>The Borough Council support the views of the DIO/MOD and await in particular confirmation as to whether a Glint and Glare Management Plan is necessary given the concerns raised.</p>
REP1-038/039	6.2.1 – ES Chapter 17 In-Combination Effects	<p>Table 17-3</p> <p>The table has been updated to show the removal of significant adverse impacts on Castle Acre Conservation Area in the decommissioning phase.</p>	<p>The Borough Council note the removal of the impacts from the table, understood to be due to a typographical error.</p> <p>The Council are aware of the intention to retain the substation infrastructure post-decommissioning and whilst this may not result in significant adverse effects in EIA terms, it is of note. The visual impact of the substation, retained without the clear association with the PV arrays and other infrastructure will result in the built form being entirely out of context. The substation infrastructure will remain at odds with the</p>

			landscape setting and intensely rural character in perpetuity.
REP1-050/051	7.6.1 outline Construction Environmental Management Plan	3.8 Water Resources, Table 7 (page 52) The Applicant has updated the table to include measures in relation to PFAS coatings, noting panels will be certified as PFAS free, meaning there is no risk of mobilisation of PFAS coatings on the panels being leached or otherwise mobilised and entering ground or surface water.	The Borough Council note and support the addition of the text.
REP1-050/051	7.6.1 outline Construction Environmental Management Plan	3.12 Other Environmental Matters, Table 11, Page 71. The Applicant has added text in regards to public information on EMF levels via the Community Liaison Group.	The Borough Council note and support the addition of the text.
REP1-050/051	7.6.1 outline Construction Environmental Management Plan	4.7 – Soils and Agriculture, Table 17 The Applicant has provided additional text in regards to measures to be taken in the event that unexpected contamination is found at any time during construction works.	The Borough Council note and support the addition of text regarding a watching brief throughout the construction phase to monitor for any unexpected contamination, and a Discovery Strategy the details of which will be confirmed in the detailed CEMP. The proposals for an unexpected contamination protocol to be provided in the detailed CEMP are also supported.
REP1-052/053	7.7.1 – outline Construction Traffic Management Plan	Paragraph 1.3.4 (and Appendix 1 of that document) The Borough Council notes that a travel plan has been newly inserted into the oCTMP	Inclusion of the travel plan as an appendix is more in keeping with Policy EN-1 Section 5.14.8 that refers to a vision-led travel plan being adopted.

			<p>It is encouraging to see that hard measures such as a shuttle bus service to consolidate movements and a restriction on the number of construction parking spaces, these are in addition to the typical soft measures of travel plans.</p> <p>In terms of the shuttle bus, the Applicant refers to conveying staff from construction compounds as well as investigating the feasibility of a shuttle service from staff accommodation and local transport hubs or rail stations (subject to employment strategy). This could mean the shuttle bus extends into outlying settlements including those within this Council’s area. In line with Section 5.2.3 of EN-1 and Defra’s Interim Planning Guidance on PM2.5 that refers to ensuring only the minimum amounts of pollutants being emitted, the Borough Council would recommend that consideration is given to shuttle bus trips being made via electric vehicles.</p>
REP1-052/053	7.7.1 – outline Construction Traffic Management Plan	Paragraph 4.1.3 notes that Route C will not now have any construction vehicles following concerns from NCC over the suitability of this route.	It is noted Part of Route C is within BCKLWN area. The Borough Council have no objection to this change.
REP1-060/061	7.11.1 outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan	The Borough Council note that this document has been updated to clarify planting measures and maintenance, to update the Green Infrastructure Strategy Plans and Advanced Planting Plan, reflect the now adopted Norfolk Local Nature Recovery Strategy, as well as addition and clarity on other	The Borough Council notes the changes however require more time to review this information and how this relates to the views of Natural England and other technical consultees and intend to submit an update at a future deadline.

BCKLWN responses to Deadline 1 Submissions
 PIN Reference No. EN0110013 – The Droves Solar Farm

		biodiversity monitoring and management measures.	
REP1-067	8.4 Applicant's Response to Relevant Representations	References BCKLWN45 – BCKLWN49 The Applicant has provided a response to comments on air quality made as part of our relevant representation [RR-010], noting that ES Chapter 9 has been amended as requested to include the actual change in vehicle numbers associated with each affected road link within the study area.	The Borough Council have no objection to this.
REP1-092	Natural England's Response to ExQ1	Natural England have responded in depth to ExQ1, the Borough Council note in particular their comments in regard to potential additional surveys/data for Stone Curlews (Q3.0.1), assessment of livestock management fields to identify suitable foraging habitat (Q3.0.2), the suggest relocation of the BESS and Substation from fields 24 and 27 (Q3.0.8)	The Borough Council note the comments from Natural England and await the Applicant's response. The Borough Council's initial view in regard to Q3.0.8 of that document is that the relocation of the BESS or Substations could have adverse effects (on landscape and heritage in particular) and that a lighting assessment as suggested by Natural England may therefore be the more appropriate approach, notwithstanding our previous comments on the implications of lighting submitted as part of our LIR.